



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/541,718	04/03/2000	Borre Bengt Ulrichsen	P-340.3 Burrows	4824
7590	05/22/2006		EXAMINER	
John C Evans Reising Ethington Barnes Kisselle Learman & McCulloch PC P O Box 4390 Troy, MI 48099-9998			MILLER, JONATHAN R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3653	
DATE MAILED: 05/22/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

5

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

09/541 718

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER

20060503

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

This communication is in response to the Order Returning Undocketed Appeal to Examiner of 9/2/05.

The Terminal Disclaimer dated 4/5/01 has not been considered by the Examiner, because the person who signed the T.D. is not an attorney of record.

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on 4/5/01 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent 6,060,677 has been reviewed and is NOT accepted.
 - a. The person who signed the terminal disclaimer is not recognized as an officer of the assignee, and he/she has not been established as being authorized to act on behalf of the assignee. See MPEP § 324.
2. An attorney or agent, not of record, is not authorized to sign a terminal disclaimer in the capacity as an attorney or agent acting in a representative capacity as provided by 37 CFR 1.34 (a). See 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c).

The T.D. is not necessary, however, because the double patenting rejection has been withdrawn due to the continuity of the current application with U.S. Patent 6,060,677. The current application is a divisional of U.S. Patent 6,060,677.

No response by Appellants is necessary.

The case has been forwarded to the BPAI for docketing and decision.



jrm

EILEEN D. LILLIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600